ITU-2016-002

Off-Shell $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Linear Multiplets in Five Dimensions

Mehmet Ozkan

Department of Physics, Istanbul Technical University,
Maslak 34469 Istanbul, Turkey,

ozkanmehm@itu.edu.tr

arXiv:1608.00349v2 [hep-th] 26 Nov 2016

Abstract

We present a superconformal tensor calculus for an arbitrary number of five dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ linear multiplets. We also demonstrate how to construct higher derivative invariants, and higher order supersymmetric off-diagonal models. Finally, we show the procedure required for the derivation of the supersymmetric completion of the non-Abelian F^4 action.

November 29, 2016

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Rigid Superconformal Linear Multiplets **3**

3 Superconformal Linear Multiplets and Conformal Supergravity **6**

4 Poincaré Supergravity and Off-Diagonal Invariants **10**

4.1 Poincaré Supergravity 10

4.2 Off-Diagonal Invariants 13

4.3 Comments on the Higher Derivative Yang-Mills Action 14

5 Conclusions **16**

A Weyl Multiplets of Five Dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supergravity **16**

1 Introduction

The construction of supergravity theories can be realized either on-shell or off-shell. When on-shell, the construction procedure can be done by the iterative Noether procedure. In this case, the closure of the superalgeabra on the components of the supergravity multiplet requires the use of the equations of motion. At the two derivative level, and when matter couplings are ignored, the Noether procedure can be the simplest and the most straightforward way to obtain a supergravity model. When higher derivative couplings, or matter couplings are taken into account, the off-shell formulation provides a novel framework. In this case, the transformation rules of the supergravity and the matter multiplets are independent of the field equations, but the price to pay is the necessity to introduce auxiliary fields to match the bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom, and for the off-shell closure of a multiplet. As an off-shell construction method, the superconformal tensor calculus [1, 2, 3, 4], which is based on an extension of the super-Poincaré algebra to include the superconformal generators, greatly simplifies the construction procedure. In this setting, one first constructs actions that are invariant under the superconformal symmetries, and then gauge fixes the conformal symmetries to obtain a Poincaré theory.

In the case of five dimensions, the conformal supergravity is based on the exceptional superalgebra $F^2(4)$ [5]. A multiplet that contains the gauge fields of this algebra is called a Weyl multiplet. In five dimensions, there are two possible formulations of Weyl multiplets: the standard and the dilaton Weyl multiplets [6, 7, 8]. These multiplets have the same gauge fields, but they differ in the matter fields that one needs to introduce to match the bosonic and the fermionic degrees of freedom. In addition to the Weyl, the multiplets that are relevant to the superconformal construction procedure are the vector, the hyper and the linear multiplets [6, 8, 9]. When the standard Weyl multiplet is utilized, both the vector and the linear (or hyper) multiplets have to be used as compensators to gauge fix the redundant conformal symmetries. The reason for that

is because the standard Weyl multiplet does not contain a graviphoton which makes the use of vector multiplet necessary, and the vector multiplet action by itself does not describe a consistent supergravity theory, which can be overcome by the use of either a hypermultiplet [6, 8, 9, 10, 24] or a linear multiplet [12] as a second compensator. (A similar phenomena also occurs in six dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$, see [13, 14]). When the dilaton Weyl multiplet is used, the multiplet itself contains a graviphoton, therefore the use of a vector multiplet is not necessary. Consequently, a single linear multiplet is sufficient to describe a conformal supergravity [15].

As mentioned, the off-shell formulation is also of great use when the higher derivative extensions are considered. For a standard Weyl multiplet, the Weyl squared invariant [16] can be constructed with a vector multiplet compensator, however the Ricci scalar squared invariant [12] requires a linear multiplet compensator. For the dilaton Weyl multiplet, the supersymmetric completion of the Gauss-Bonnet combination [17] and the Riemann squared action [18] do not require a compensator multiplet, however the Ricci scalar squared requires a linear multiplet compensator. Furthermore, if one is after a five dimensional gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity from an off-shell viewpoint, then the linear multiplet plays a crucial role to define a third Weyl multiplet: the deformed dilaton Weyl multiplet [15] (see [19] for the superspace construction of the deformed dilaton Weyl multiplet).

Although the linear multiplet is an important ingredient of the superconformal gravity in five dimensions, there is no detailed investigation on the general couplings of this multiplet. Our aim in this paper is to fill this gap following [20]. As a byproduct, we also present the higher derivative off-diagonal invariants of five dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity: the supersymmetric invariants that do not contain pure curvature tensor terms, but contain a curvature tensor multiplied by an auxiliary scalar. These invariants play an important role in obtaining ghost-free models of supergravity when the maximally symmetric vacua is given by AdS. In such cases, the elimination of the auxiliary field spoils the ghost-free combination of cuvature invariants as the equation of motion for the auxiliary field contains curvature terms sourced by the off-diagonal terms, see [25, 26] for three dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ examples. Therefore, it is necessary to construct off-diagonal invariants to obtain ghost-free supergravity models in an AdS background. In the case of five dimensions, the ghost free higher derivative model is the Gauss-Bonnet combination [17], and the necessary off-diagonal invariant is the RN invariant where R is the Ricci scalar and N is the auxiliary field.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the rigid superconformal vector multiplet and construct and action for $N-$number of interacting linear multiplets of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry. In Section 3, we generalize the results of rigid conformal model to conformal supergravity couplings. In Section 4, we discuss the construction of a Poincaré invariant supergravity theory, and the off-diagonal invariants by use of linear and vector multiplets. In this section, we also comment on the supersymmetric completion of the F^4 action. We present our conclusions in Section 5.

2 Rigid Superconformal Linear Multiplets

The five dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ linear multiplets can be realized superconformally in a flat Minkowski background. In its bosonic sector, a linear multiplet consists of SU(2) triplets L_{ij}, a constrained vector E_a, and an auxiliary scalar N. The fermionic sector consists of an SU(2) doublet field φ_i. The $Q-$supersymmetry (ϵ^i), $S-$supersymmetry (η^i), dilatations (Λ_D) and SU(2) R-symmetry (Λ^{ij}) transformation rules for a linear multiplet are given by[1] [9]

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta L^{ij} &= \mathrm{i}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\varphi^{j)} + 3\Lambda_D L^{ij} - 2\Lambda^{(i}{}_k L^{j)k}\,,\\
\delta\varphi^i &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\not{\partial}L^{ij}\epsilon_j - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\gamma^a E_a\epsilon^i + \tfrac{1}{2}N\epsilon^i + 3L^{ij}\eta_j + \tfrac{7}{2}\Lambda_D\varphi^i - \Lambda^i{}_j\varphi^j\,,\\
\delta E_a &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{ab}\partial^b\varphi - 2\bar{\eta}\gamma_a\varphi + 4\Lambda_D E_a\,,\\
\delta N &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\not{\partial}\varphi + \tfrac{3}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\eta}\varphi + 4\Lambda_D N\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where the closure of the superconformal algebra on the components of the linear multiplet requires $\partial^a E_a = 0$. Therefore, E_a can be solved in terms of a 3-form E_{abc} as

$$E^a = -\tfrac{1}{12}\epsilon^{abcde}\partial_b E_{cde}\,. \tag{2.2}$$

One can also define a dual 2-form potential E_{ab} as $E_a = \partial^b E_{ab}$ and $E_{abc} = \epsilon_{abcde}E^{de}$. The components of the linear multiplet are inert under the special conformal transformations ($\Lambda_{K\mu}$).

In principle, with the transformation rules (2.1) in hand, one can start from a conformally invariant kinetic term for L_{ij} and apply the Noether procedure to construct a two-derivative rigid superconformal action for linear multiplets. This method is very tedious, and there is a rather simpler way which requires the use of another conformal multiplet of $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmety; the vector multiplet. The vector multiplet consists of a scalar field ρ, a vector field A_μ, and SU(2) triplet of auxiliary fields Y_{ij}. The fermionic sector consists of an SU(2) doublet field λ_i. The vector multiplet is inert under the special conformal transformations, and the Q, S, D and SU(2) transformation rules are given by [9]

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\rho &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\epsilon}\lambda + \Lambda_D\rho\,,\\
\delta A_\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_\mu\lambda\,,\\
\delta\lambda^i &= -\tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot F\epsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{2}\not{\partial}\rho\,\epsilon^i - Y^{ij}\epsilon_j + \rho\eta^i + \tfrac{3}{2}\Lambda_D\lambda^i - \Lambda^i{}_j\lambda^j\,,\\
\delta Y^{ij} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\not{\partial}\lambda^{j)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}^{(i}\lambda^{j)} + 2\Lambda_D Y^{ij} - 2\Lambda^{(i}{}_k Y^{j)k}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as $F_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}$. The rigid conformal actions in five dimensions can be constructed based on the observation that a vector and a linear multiplet can couple linearly [6]

$$\mathcal{L} = Y^{ij}L_{ij} + \mathrm{i}\bar{\lambda}\varphi + A^a E_a + \rho N\,, \tag{2.4}$$

where the gauge invariance of the action is satisfied with the condition $\partial^a E_a = 0$. As it stands, this Lagrangian, which we shall refer to as the rigid density formulae, does not mean much since the

[1] In this paper, we use the conventions of [17, 21]

field equation derived from this action states that all the components of the vector and the linear multiplets are zero. However, we can regard the vector multiplet components not as fundamental fields but as composite expressions given in terms of the elements of the linear multiplet that transforms exactly as a vector multiplet (2.3).

For the construction of an action for the rigid conformal linear multiplets, let's define a real function $\mathcal{F}_{AB}(L)$ of the scalars of the linear multiplets L^A_{ij}, where $A, B = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ indicates the number of linear multiplets. The lowest component of the vector multiplet ρ_A can then be expressed in terms of the components of the linear multiplets as

$$\rho_A \;=\; 2\mathcal{F}_{AB}N^B - \mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{ij}\bar{\varphi}^B_i\varphi^C_j\,, \tag{2.5}$$

where we have the following definitions

$$\mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{ij} \;=\; \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}_{AB}}{\partial L^C_{ij}}\,, \qquad \mathcal{F}_{ABCD}{}^{ijkm} = \frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}_{AB}}{\partial L^C_{ij}\partial L^D_{km}}\,. \tag{2.6}$$

The composite expression should transform exactly as the scalar component of the vector multiplet. The dilatation transformation of ρ implies that $\mathcal{F}_{AB}$ must be of scaling dimension -3

$$\delta_D\mathcal{F}_{AB} = -3\Lambda_D\mathcal{F}_{AB}\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Furthermore, S-invariance of the ρ_A implies that

$$\mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{ij} \;=\; \mathcal{F}_{A(BC)}{}^{ij}\,, \qquad \mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{ij}L^C_{jk} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^i{}_k\mathcal{F}_{AB}\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that there is no particular symmetry in the indices of $\mathcal{F}_{AB}$, however its derivative, $\mathcal{F}_{ABC}$, must be symmetric in the second and the third indices. These constraints and the lowest composite expression (2.5) are consistent with the single multiplet construction given in [15] which corresponds to the choice $\mathcal{F}_{AB} = \delta_{AB}L^{-1}$ where $L^2 = L_{ij}L^{ij}$. Other examples that corresponds to other special choices of the generic $\mathcal{F}_{AB}$ can be found in [19]. The Q-transformation of the composite expression for ρ_A leads to the composite expression for λ_{iA}

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{iA} \;=\;& -2\mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{AB}\partial\!\!\!/\varphi^B_i + 2\mathcal{F}_{ABCij}\varphi^{jB}N^C + 2\mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABCij}\not{E}^B\varphi^{jC}\\ & -2\mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{jk}\partial\!\!\!/L^B_{ij}\varphi^C_k - 2\mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABCDij}{}^{kl}\varphi^{jD}\bar{\varphi}^B_k\varphi^C_l\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The last condition on $\mathcal{F}_{AB}$ is related to the higher order spinor terms,

$$\varepsilon_{jk}\mathcal{F}_{ABCD}{}^{ijkl} = 0\,, \tag{2.10}$$

which is needed to set $\mathcal{F}_{ABCD}{}^{ijkl}\varphi^D_k\bar{\varphi}^C_i\varphi^B_j = 0$ in order to ensure that the composite expression for λ_{Ai} indeed describes the fermionic sector of a vector multiplet. More discussions on the function $\mathcal{F}_{AB}$ in the context of four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry can be found in [20, 22]. For a modern treatment from the superspace viewpoint on the constraints on $\mathcal{F}_{AB}$, see [23]. To summarize, the function $\mathcal{F}_{AB}(L)$ must satisfy the following four constraints in a superconformal setting

$$\delta_D\mathcal{F}_{AB}(L) = -3\Lambda_D\mathcal{F}_{AB}(L), \qquad \mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{ij}(L) = \mathcal{F}_{A(BC)}{}^{ij}(L)\,,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{ij}(L)L^C_{jk} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^i{}_k\mathcal{F}_{AB}(L), \qquad \varepsilon_{jk}\mathcal{F}_{ABCD}{}^{ijkl}(L) = 0\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Any function $\mathcal{F}_{AB}(L)$ that satisfies these constraints can be used to describe the couplings of N-number of linear multiplets. With these constraints and the composite expression for the λ_{iA} we can now proceed to find how Y_{ijA} and $F_{\mu\nu A}$ are expressed in terms of the components of the linear multiplet. Using the transformation rules (2.3), we find the following expressions for the composite Y_{ijA} and $F_{\mu\nu A}$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{ijA} &= \mathcal{F}_{AB}\Box L^B_{ij} + \mathcal{F}_{ABCij}N^BN^C + \mathcal{F}_{ABCij}E^B_aE^{aC} + \mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{km}\partial_aL^B_{k(i}\partial^aL^C_{j)m} \\
&\quad +2\mathcal{F}_{ABC(i}{}^kE^B_a\partial^aL^C_{j)k} - \mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABCDij}{}^{kl}N^D\bar\varphi^C_k\varphi^B_l + \mathcal{F}_{ABCDij}{}^{kl}\bar\varphi^B_k\not{E}^D\varphi^C_l \\
&\quad -2\mathcal{F}_{ABCk(i}\bar\varphi^{kB}\not\partial\varphi^C_{j)} - \mathcal{F}_{ABCDk(i}{}^{lm}\bar\varphi^{kB}\not\partial L^L_{j)l}\varphi^C_m - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{ABCDEijmn}{}^{kl}\bar\varphi^B_k\varphi^C_l\bar\varphi^{mD}\varphi^{nE}\,, \\
F_{\mu\nu A} &= 4\partial_{[\mu}(\mathcal{F}_{AB}E^B_{\nu]}) + 2\mathcal{F}_{ABCDk}{}^l\partial_{[\mu}L^{kpB}\partial_{\nu]}L^C_{lp} + 2\partial_{[\mu}(\mathcal{F}_{ABCDij}\bar\varphi^{iB}\gamma_{\nu]}\varphi^{jC})\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

We can now use the these expressions and the rigid density formulae (2.3) to provide an action for the rigid conformal linear multiplets. The bosonic part of the action reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{F}_{AB}L^A_{ij}\Box L^{ijB} + \mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{km}L^{ijA}\partial_aL^B_{ki}\partial^aL^C_{jm} + 2\mathcal{F}_{AB}N^AN^B \\
&\quad +\mathcal{F}_{ABCij}L^{ijA}N^BN^C + 2\mathcal{F}_{AB}E^A_aE^{aB} + \mathcal{F}_{ABCij}L^{ijA}E^B_aE^{aC} \\
&\quad +2\mathcal{F}_{ABCi}{}^kL^{ijA}E^B_a\partial^aL^C_{jk} + \mathcal{F}_{ABCk}{}^lE^{abA}\partial_aL^{kpB}\partial_bL^C_{lp}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and the fermionic part can simply be read from the composite expressions. As mentioned, there is no particular symmetry in the indices of $\mathcal{F}_{AB}$, and indeed one can chose $\mathcal{F}_{AB}$ to satisfy the constraints (2.11) that has no symmetry in AB indices. One particular choice is to consider two linear multiplets, $(L^{ij}_1, \varphi^i_1, E^a_1, N_1)$ and $(L^{ij}_2, \varphi^i_2, E^a_2, N_2)$, and choose

$$\mathcal{F}_{11} = L_2^{-1}\,, \qquad \mathcal{F}_{12} = -L_2^{-3}L_{2pq}L_1^{pq}\,, \qquad \mathcal{F}_{21} = \mathcal{F}_{22} = 0\,. \tag{2.14}$$

This choice, which we will discuss in the next sections, is not symmetric in $(1,2)$ indices, and yet satisfies the constraints (2.11). Furthermore, in our construction above, the A index is fixed thus it differs from the B index that is being summed over. However, if we sum over the A index as well, then the action (2.13) can be simplified considerably. An example of such a choice is the non-interacting N number of linear multiplets

$$\mathcal{F}_{AB} = L^{-1}\delta_{AB}\,. \tag{2.15}$$

When there is a sum over A index, the action, including the fermionic terms, is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= -\tfrac{1}{2}F_{AB}\partial_aL^A_{ij}\partial^aL^{ijB} + F_{AB}(N^AN^B + E^A_aE^{aB}) + F_{ABCk}{}^lE^{abA}\partial_aL^{kpB}\partial_bL^C_{lp} \\
&\quad -F_{AB}\bar\varphi^A\not\partial\varphi^B - F_{ABC}{}^{ij}N^A\bar\varphi^B_i\varphi^C_j + F_{ABC}{}^{ij}\bar\varphi^B_i\not{E}^A\varphi^C_j \\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{2}F_{ABCD}{}^{ijkl}\bar\varphi^A_i\varphi^B_j\bar\varphi^C_k\varphi^D_l\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

up to partial integrations. Here F_{AB} and its descendants defined as [20]

$$F_{AB} = 2\mathcal{F}_{(AB)} + \mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{ij}L^A_{ij}\,,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{ABC}{}^{ij} &= 3\mathcal{F}_{(ABC)}{}^{ij} + \mathcal{F}_{DABC}{}^{ijkl}L^D_{ij}\,,\\
F_{ABCD}{}^{ijkl} &= 4\mathcal{F}_{(ABCD)}{}^{ijkl} + \mathcal{F}_{EABCD}{}^{ijklmn}L^E_{mn}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.17}
$$

This simplification also requires the use of following identities [20]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{ABCij}L^B_{kl}L^{klC} &= -\mathcal{F}_{AB}L^B_{ij}\,,\\
K_{ik}L^{jk} + K^{jk}L_{ik} &= \delta_i{}^k K^{kl}L_{kl}\,,\\
K_{ij}L_{kl} - K_{kl}L_{ij} &= \varepsilon_{ik}\varepsilon^{mn}(K_{lm}L_{nj} + K_{jm}L_{nl})|_{(i,j)(k,l)}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.18}
$$

where (i,j) and (k,l) indicates symmetrization in the respected indices.

The same procedure can be repeated to construct an action for the vector multiplets, but this time we need to assume that the components of the linear multiplet can be written in terms of the elements of the vector multiplet

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{ijA} &= \mathcal{C}_{ABC}\Big(2\rho^B Y^C_{ij} - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\lambda^B_{(i}\lambda^C_{j)}\Big)\,,\\
\varphi_{i\,A} &= \mathcal{C}_{ABC}\Big(\mathrm{i}\rho^B \not{\partial}\lambda^C_i - \tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot F^B\lambda^C_i + \tfrac{1}{2}\not{\partial}\rho^B\lambda^C_i - Y^B_{ij}\lambda^{jC}\Big)\,,\\
E^a_A &= \mathcal{C}_{ABC}\Big[\partial_b\Big(-\rho^B F^{ab\,C} - \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{i}\bar\lambda^B\gamma^{ab}\lambda^C\Big) - \tfrac{1}{8}\epsilon^{abcde}F^B_{bc}F^C_{de}\Big]\,,\\
N_A &= \mathcal{C}_{ABC}\Big(\rho^B\Box\rho^C + \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\rho^B\partial^\mu\rho^C - \tfrac{1}{4}F^B_{ab}F^{ab\,C} + Y^B_{ij}Y^{ij\,C} - \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\lambda^B\not{\partial}\lambda^C\Big)\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.19}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{ABC}$ is a constant symmetric tensor that determines the couplings of vector multiplets with each other. Using this composite formulae in the density formulae (2.4), an action for the conformal vector multiplets is given by [6, 9, 24]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{C}_{ABC}\Bigg[\Big(-\tfrac{1}{4}F^A_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu\,B} - \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\lambda^A\not{\partial}\lambda^B - \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\rho^A\partial^\mu\rho^B + Y^A_{ij}Y^{ij\,B}\Big)\rho^C\\
&\qquad -\tfrac{1}{8}\mathrm{i}\bar\lambda^A\gamma\cdot F^B\lambda^C - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\lambda^{iA}\lambda^{jB}Y^C_{ij} - \tfrac{1}{24}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}A^A_\mu F^B_{\nu\rho}F^C_{\sigma\lambda}\Bigg]\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.20}
$$

up to total derivatives.

3 Superconformal Linear Multiplets and Conformal Supergravity

The superconformal realization of the vector and the linear multiplets in the rigid Minkowski background of the previous section can be generalized to a superconformal background. In this case, the superconformal transformations are gauged, and we need to introduce spacetime dependent transformation parameters along with the corresponding gauge fields. These gauge fields would form the so-called standard Weyl multiplet, which we give a detailed description in Appendix A. In this case, the transformation rules for the linear multiplet reads [9]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta L^{ij} &= \mathrm{i}\bar\epsilon^{(i}\varphi^{j)} + 3\Lambda_D L^{ij} - 2\Lambda^{(i}{}_k L^{j)k}\,,\\
\delta\varphi^i &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\not{\mathcal{D}}L^{ij}\epsilon_j - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\gamma^a E_a\epsilon^i + \tfrac{1}{2}N\epsilon^i - \gamma\cdot TL^{ij}\epsilon_j + 3L^{ij}\eta_j + \tfrac{7}{2}\Lambda_D\varphi^i - \Lambda^i{}_j\varphi^j\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta E_a &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_{ab}\mathcal{D}^b\varphi + 2\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^b\varphi T_{ab} - 2\bar{\eta}\gamma_a\varphi + 4\Lambda_D E_a\,, \\
\delta N &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\not{\mathcal{D}}\varphi + \tfrac{3}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma\cdot T\varphi + \tfrac{3}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\eta}\varphi + 4\Lambda_D N\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}
$$

where the closure of the superconformal algebra on the components of the linear multiplet now requires

$$
\mathcal{D}^a E_a = 0\,, \tag{3.2}
$$

which implies that E_a can be solved as

$$
E^a = -\tfrac{1}{12} e_\mu{}^a \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}\mathcal{D}_\nu E_{\rho\sigma\lambda}\,. \tag{3.3}
$$

We can also define a 2-form potential $E_{\mu\nu}$ such as

$$
E^a = e_\mu{}^a \mathcal{D}_\nu E^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\mu\nu\rho} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}E^{\sigma\lambda}\,. \tag{3.4}
$$

Here, $E_{\mu\nu}$ transforms as

$$
\delta E^{\mu\nu} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\varphi - \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}^i_\rho\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\epsilon^j L_{ij}\,, \tag{3.5}
$$

and the covariant derivative of $E_{\mu\nu}$ in (3.4) is given by

$$
\mathcal{D}_\nu E^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu E^{\mu\nu} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\psi}_\nu\gamma^{\mu\nu}\varphi + \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}^i_\rho\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}\psi^j_\nu L_{ij}\,. \tag{3.6}
$$

The supercovariant curvatures that we have used are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_\mu L^{ij} &= \partial_\mu L^{ij} - 3b_\mu L^{ij} + 2V_\mu{}^{(i}{}_k L^{j)k} - \mathrm{i}\bar{\psi}^{(i}_\mu\varphi^{j)}\,, \\
\mathcal{D}_\mu\varphi^i &= \partial_\mu\varphi^i - \tfrac{7}{2}b_\mu\varphi^i + \tfrac{1}{4}\omega_\mu{}^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\varphi^i - V_\mu{}^{ij}\varphi_j + \tfrac{1}{2}\not{\mathcal{D}}L^{ij}\psi_{\mu j} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\not{E}\psi^i_\mu \\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{2}N\psi^i_\mu + \gamma\cdot T L^{ij}\psi_{\mu j} - 3L^{ij}\phi_{\mu j}\,, \\
\mathcal{D}_\mu E_a &= \partial_\mu E_a - 4b_\mu E_a + \omega_{\mu ab}E^b + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\psi}_\mu\gamma_{ab}\mathcal{D}^b\varphi - 2\bar{\psi}_\mu\gamma^b\varphi T_{ab} + 2\bar{\phi}_\mu\gamma_a\varphi\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}
$$

The quantities that do not belong to the linear multiplet in the transformation rules and the covariant quantities, which we describe in Appendix A, are the elements of the standard Weyl multiplet: $e_\mu{}^a$ is the fünfbein, b_μ is the gauge field that gauges dilatations, $V_\mu{}^{ij}$ is the SU(2) R-symmetry gauge field, $\omega_\mu{}^{ab}$ is the spin connection, $f_\mu{}^a$ is the gauge field for the special conformal transformations, ψ^i_μ is the $Q-$supersymmetry gauge field, and ϕ^i_μ is the gauge field for $S-$supersymmetry. In addition to the gauge fields the standard Weyl multiplet consists of matter field: a real scalar D, an anti-symmetric tensor T_{ab} and a symplectic Marojana spinor χ^i.

For the vector multiplet, the transformation rules read [9]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\rho &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\epsilon}\lambda + \Lambda_D\rho\,, \\
\delta A_\mu &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\rho\bar{\epsilon}\psi_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_\mu\lambda\,, \\
\delta\lambda^i &= -\tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot\widehat{F}\epsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{2}\not{\mathcal{D}}\rho\,\epsilon^i - Y^{ij}\epsilon_j + \rho\gamma\cdot T\epsilon^i + \rho\eta^i + \tfrac{3}{2}\Lambda_D\lambda^i - \Lambda^i{}_j\lambda^j\,, \\
\delta Y^{ij} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\not{\mathcal{D}}\lambda^{j)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\gamma\cdot T\lambda^{j)} - 4\mathrm{i}\rho\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}\chi^{j)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}^{(i}\lambda^{j)} + 2\Lambda_D Y^{ij} - 2\Lambda^{(i}{}_k Y^{j)k}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}
$$

where the superconformal field strength $\widehat{F}^A_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$\widehat{F}_{\mu\nu} \;=\; 2\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]} - \bar{\psi}_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]}\lambda + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\rho\bar{\psi}_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]}\,, \tag{3.9}$$

and the supercovariant derivatives are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_\mu\rho &= \partial_\mu\rho - b_\mu\rho - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\psi}_\mu\lambda\,,\\
\mathcal{D}_\mu\lambda^i &= \partial_\mu\lambda^i - \tfrac{3}{2}b_\mu\lambda^i + \tfrac{1}{4}\omega_\mu{}^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\lambda^i - V_\mu{}^{ij}\lambda_j + \tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot\widehat{F}\psi^i_\mu\\
&\quad +\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\not{\mathcal{D}}\rho\,\psi^i_\mu + Y^{ij}\psi_{\mu j} - \rho\gamma\cdot T\psi^i_\mu - \rho\phi^i_\mu\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

As in the rigid case, the vector and the linear multiplet actions can be constructed based on a density formulae, which is now given as [6]

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L} \;=\; Y^{ij}L_{ij} + \mathrm{i}\bar{\lambda}\varphi + \rho N + A_aP^a - \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}^i_\mu\gamma^\mu\lambda^iL_{ij} + \tfrac{1}{2}\rho\psi_\mu\gamma^\mu\varphi + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{i}\rho\bar{\psi}^i_\mu\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi^j_\nu L_{ij}\,, \tag{3.11}$$

where P_a is the bosonic part of the superconformal curvature E_a

$$P^a \;=\; E^a + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\psi}_b\gamma^{ba}\varphi + \tfrac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}^i_b\gamma^{abc}\psi^j_cL_{ij}\,. \tag{3.12}$$

Using (3.3), we can also express P_a in terms of $E_{\mu\nu\rho}$ as

$$P^a \;=\; -\tfrac{1}{12}e_\mu{}^a\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}\partial_\nu E_{\rho\sigma\lambda}\,. \tag{3.13}$$

Just as in the rigid superconformal case, we can construct the vector multiplets out of linear multiplets, however this time they should be consistent with the transformation rules in which the transformation parameters are local. The ansatz for the ρ_A in the rigid case, (2.5) does not need a modification, and can be used as the starting point. Then, the composite vector multiplet, which can be found as a sequence of $Q-$supersymmetry transformations, is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_A &= 2\mathcal{F}_{AB}N^B - \mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{ij}\bar{\varphi}^B_i\varphi^C_j\,,\\
\lambda_{iA} &= -2\mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{AB}\not{\mathcal{D}}\varphi^B_i + 2\mathcal{F}_{ABCij}\varphi^{jB}N^C + 2\mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABCij}\not{E}^B\varphi^{jC} - 2\mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{jk}\not{\mathcal{D}}L^B_{ij}\varphi^C_k\\
&\quad +16\mathcal{F}_{AB}L^B_{ij}\chi^j + 4\mathcal{F}_{AB}\gamma\cdot T\varphi^B_i - 2\mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABCDij}{}^{kl}\varphi^{jD}\bar{\varphi}^B_k\varphi^C_l\,,\\
Y_{ijA} &= \mathcal{F}_{AB}\Box^cL^B_{ij} + \mathcal{F}_{ABCij}N^BN^C + \mathcal{F}_{ABCij}E^B_aE^{aC} + \mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{km}\mathcal{D}_aL^B_{k(i}\mathcal{D}^aL^C_{j)m}\\
&\quad +2\mathcal{F}_{ABC(i}{}^kE^B_a\mathcal{D}^aL^C_{j)k} + \tfrac{8}{3}\mathcal{F}_{AB}L^A_{ij}T^2 + 4\mathcal{F}_{AB}L^B_{ij}D - \mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABCDij}{}^{kl}N^D\bar{\varphi}^C_k\varphi^B_l\\
&\quad +\mathcal{F}_{ABCDij}{}^{kl}\bar{\varphi}^B_k\not{E}^D\varphi^C_l - 16\mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{AB}\bar{\chi}_{(i}\varphi^B_{j)} - 16\mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABCij}L^B_{kl}\bar{\chi}^k\varphi^{lC}\\
&\quad -2\mathcal{F}_{ABCk(i}\bar{\varphi}^{kB}\not{\mathcal{D}}\varphi^C_{j)} - \mathcal{F}_{ABCDk(i}{}^{lm}\bar{\varphi}^{kB}\not{\mathcal{D}}L^L_{j)l}\varphi^C_m - 2\mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{ABCij}\bar{\varphi}^B\gamma\cdot T\varphi^C\\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{ABCDEijmn}{}^{kl}\bar{\varphi}^B_k\varphi^C_l\bar{\varphi}^{mD}\varphi^{nE}\,,\\
\widehat{F}_{\mu\nu A} &= 4\mathcal{D}_{[\mu}(\mathcal{F}_{AB}E^B_{\nu]}) + 2\mathcal{F}_{AB}\widehat{R}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ij}(V)L^B_{ij} + 2\mathcal{F}_{ABCDk}{}^l\mathcal{D}_{[\mu}L^{kpB}\mathcal{D}_{\nu]}L^C_{lp}\\
&\quad +2\mathcal{D}_{[\mu}(\mathcal{F}_{ABCDij}\bar{\varphi}^{iB}\gamma_{\nu]}\varphi^{jC}) - \mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{AB}\bar{\varphi}^B\widehat{R}_{\mu\nu}(Q)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $\widehat{R}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ij}(V)$ and $\widehat{R}_{\mu\nu}(Q)$ are the supercovariant curvatures of the standard Weyl multiplet fields $V_\mu{}^{ij}$ and ψ^i_μ respectively. For a single linear multiplet, this result matches with [15]. The superconformal d'Alembertian of L_{ij} is defined as

$$\Box^cL^{ij}_A \;=\; (\partial^a - 4b^a + \omega_b{}^{ba})\mathcal{D}_aL^{ij}_A + 2V_a^{(i}{}_k\mathcal{D}^aL^{j)k}_A + 6f^a_aL^{ij}_A - \mathrm{i}\bar{\psi}^{a(i}\mathcal{D}_a\varphi^{j)}_A$$

$$+4\bar{\psi}^{a(i}\gamma_a\chi^{k)}L^{j}{}_{kA}+4\bar{\psi}^{a(j}\gamma_a\chi^{k)}L^{i}{}_{kA}-6L^{ij}_{A}\bar{\psi}^{a}\gamma_a\chi$$
$$-\bar{\varphi}^{(i}_{A}\gamma\cdot T\gamma^a\psi^{j)}_{a}+\bar{\varphi}^{(i}_{A}\gamma^a\phi^{j)}_{a}\,. \tag{3.15}$$

Although the form of composite $\widehat{F}_{abA}$ is manifestly covariant, it is useful to write down the bosonic part of $\widehat{F}_{abA}$ in a different way, which is useful when we use the composite expressions to form an action

$$F_{\mu\nu A} \;=\; 4\partial_{[\mu}(\mathcal{F}_{AB}E^{B}_{\nu]}+\mathcal{F}_{AB}V^{ij}_{\nu]}L^{B}_{ij})+2\mathcal{F}_{ABCk}{}^{l}\partial_{[\mu}L^{kpB}\partial_{\nu]}L^{C}_{lp}\,. \tag{3.16}$$

Consequently, the action that describes the supergravity coupling of N-number of linear multiplets is given by

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-1}\mathcal{L} \;=\;& \mathcal{F}_{AB}L^{A}_{ij}\Box^{c}L^{ijB}+\mathcal{F}_{ABC}{}^{km}L^{ijA}\mathcal{D}_aL^{B}_{ki}\mathcal{D}^aL^{C}_{jm}+2\mathcal{F}_{AB}N^AN^B\\
&+\mathcal{F}_{ABCij}L^{ijA}N^BN^C+2\mathcal{F}_{AB}E^{A}_{a}E^{aB}+\mathcal{F}_{ABCij}L^{ijA}E^{B}_{a}E^{aC}\\
&+2\mathcal{F}_{ABCi}{}^{k}L^{ijA}E^{B}_{a}\mathcal{D}^aL^{C}_{jk}+\mathcal{F}_{ABCk}{}^{l}E^{abA}\partial_aL^{kpB}\partial_bL^{C}_{lp}\\
&+\tfrac{8}{3}\mathcal{F}_{AB}L^{ijA}L^{B}_{ij}T^2+4\mathcal{F}_{AB}L^{ijA}L^{B}_{ij}D+2\mathcal{F}_{AB}E^{A}_{a}L^{B}_{ij}V^{aij}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Here, we only provide the bosonic part of the action, and the fermionic part can be read from the composite formulae. Assuming that there is a summation over the A index, and using F_{AB} and its descendants (2.17), the bosonic part of the linear multiplet action can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-1}\mathcal{L} \;=\;& -\tfrac{3}{8}F_{AB}L^{A}_{ij}L^{ijB}R+4F_{AB}L^{A}_{ij}L^{ijB}D+\tfrac{8}{3}F_{AB}L^{A}_{ij}L^{ijB}T^2-\tfrac{1}{2}F_{AB}D_\mu L^{A}_{ij}D^\mu L^{ijB}\\
&+F_{AB}(N^AN^B+E^{A}_{\mu}E^{\mu B})+F_{ABCk}{}^{l}E^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu L^{kpB}\partial_\nu L^{C}_{lp}+2F_{AB}E^{A}_{\mu}L^{B}_{ij}V^{\mu ij}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where we have defined the SU(2) covariant derivative

$$D_\mu L^{ijA} \;=\; \partial_\mu L^{ijA}+2V_\mu{}^{(i}{}_{k}L^{j)kA}\,, \tag{3.19}$$

and used $E^a=\mathcal{D}^bE_{ab}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{ABCDi[jk]l}=0$. We have also used the definitions of the superconformal covariant quantities given in (3.7), (3.12) and (3.15). Note that we did not fix the bosonic field $b_\mu=0$, however it does not show up in the Lagrangian. This is because the b_μ terms in the superconformal d'Alembertian $\Box^cL_{ij}$ precisely cancels the b_μ terms in the supercovariant curvature of L_{ij} (3.7), and the remaining terms are the Ricci scalar, R, that is associated with the gravitational field, as well as the SU(2) covariant derivative of L_{ij}. This is a typical property of conformal gravity dictated by the special conformal invariance.

For the construction of a two-derivative vector multiplet action, we construct the elements of the linear multiplet in terms of the vector multiplet fields [8, 9]

$$\begin{aligned}L_{ijA} \;&=\; \mathcal{C}_{ABC}\Big(2\rho^BY^{C}_{ij}-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\lambda}^{B}_{(i}\lambda^{C}_{j)}\Big)\,,\\
\varphi_{i\,A} \;&=\; C_{ABC}\Big(\mathrm{i}\rho^B\not{\mathcal{D}}\lambda^{C}_{i}+2\rho^B\gamma\cdot T\lambda^{C}_{i}-8\rho^B\rho^C\chi_i-\tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot\widehat{F}^{B}\lambda^{C}_{i}+\tfrac{1}{2}\not{\mathcal{D}}\rho^B\lambda^{C}_{i}-Y^{B}_{ij}\lambda^{jC}\Big)\,,\\
E^{a}_{A} \;&=\; \mathcal{C}_{ABC}\Big[\mathcal{D}_b\Big(-\rho^B\widehat{F}^{ab\,C}+8\rho^B\rho^CT^{ab}-\tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{i}\bar{\lambda}^B\gamma^{ab}\lambda^C\Big)-\tfrac{1}{8}\epsilon^{abcde}\widehat{F}^{B}_{bc}\widehat{F}^{C}_{de}\Big]\,,\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_A &= \mathcal{C}_{ABC}\Big[\rho^B\Box^c\rho^C+\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_\mu\rho^B\mathcal{D}^\mu\rho^C-\tfrac{1}{4}\widehat{F}^B_{ab}\widehat{F}^{ab\,C}+Y^B_{ij}Y^{ij\,C}+8\rho^B\widehat{F}^C_{ab}T^{ab}\\
&\quad -4\rho^B\rho^C\Big(D+\tfrac{26}{3}T^2\Big)-\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}^B\not{\mathcal{D}}\lambda^C+\mathrm{i}\bar{\lambda}^B\gamma\cdot T\lambda^C+16\mathrm{i}\rho^B\bar{\chi}\lambda^C\Big]\,, \qquad (3.20)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the superconformal d'Alembertian is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Box^c\rho^A &= (\partial^a-2b^a+\omega_b{}^{ba})\mathcal{D}_a\rho^A-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\psi}_a\mathcal{D}^a\lambda^A-2\rho^A\bar{\psi}_a\gamma^a\chi\\
&\quad +\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_a\gamma^a\gamma\cdot T\lambda^A+\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\phi}_a\gamma^a\lambda^A+2f^a_a\rho^A\,. \qquad (3.21)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the composite expressions and the density formulae (3.11), we can describe the supergravity coupling of N-number of vector multiplets as

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-1}\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{C}_{ABC}\Big[-\tfrac{1}{24}\rho^A\rho^B\rho^C R-\tfrac{1}{4}\rho^A F^B_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu C}-\tfrac{1}{2}\rho^A\partial_\mu\rho^B\partial^\mu\rho^C+\rho^B Y^A_{ij}Y^{ijC}\\
&\quad -\tfrac{4}{3}\rho^A\rho^B\rho^C\Big(D+\tfrac{26}{3}T^2\Big)+4\rho^A\rho^B F^C_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}-\tfrac{1}{24}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}A^A_\mu F^B_{\nu\rho}F^C_{\sigma\lambda}\Big]\,. \qquad (3.22)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we again provide the bosonic part of the action, and the fermionic part can be read from the composite formulae.

4 Poincaré Supergravity and Off-Diagonal Invariants

In the previous sections, we review the construction of the supergravity coupling of vector multiplets, and constructed an action for N-number of local superconformal linear multiplets. In the following subsections, we will consider the byproducts of our constructions, i.e. the off-shell Poincaré supergravity and higher derivative invariants.

4.1 Poincaré Supergravity

There are more than one way to obtain an off-shell Poincaré supergravity using linear, vector and Weyl multiplets. In Table 1, we provide a list of possible constructions of an off-shell supergravity using these multiplets. In this paper, we will not use the dilaton Weyl multiplet, therefore, will discuss the details here. The constructions based on the dilaton Weyl multiplet can be found in [12, 15, 17], and the dilaton Weyl multiplet itself is briefly discussed in Appendix A.

As mentioned, the construction of a supergravity based on a standard Weyl multiplet requires the use of both vector and the linear multiplets. Thus, our starting point for the construction of a supergravity is a combination of the vector multiplet action (3.22) and the linear multiplet action (3.17). We let this action be $\mathcal{L}=-\mathcal{L}_L-3\mathcal{L}_V$, which reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-1}\mathcal{L} &= \tfrac{1}{8}(\mathcal{C}+3F_{AB}L^A_{ij}L^{ijB})R+4(\mathcal{C}-F_{AB}L^A_{ij}L^{ijB})D+\tfrac{1}{3}(104\mathcal{C}-8F_{AB}L^A_{ij}L^{ijB})T^2\\
&\quad +\tfrac{1}{2}F_{AB}D_\mu L^A_{ij}D^\mu L^{ijB}-F_{AB}N^AN^B-F_{AB}E^A_\mu E^{\mu B}-F_{ABCk}{}^lE^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu L^{kpB}\partial_\nu L^C_{lp}\\
&\quad -2F_{AB}E^A_\mu L^B_{ij}V^{\mu ij}+\tfrac{3}{4}C_{ABC}\rho^AF^B_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu C}+\tfrac{3}{2}C_{ABC}\rho^A\partial_\mu\rho^B\partial^\mu\rho^C\\
&\quad -3C_{ABC}\rho^AY^B_{ij}Y^{ijC}-12C_{ABC}\rho^A\rho^BF^C_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}+\tfrac{1}{8}C_{ABC}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}A^A_\mu F^B_{\mu\nu}F^C_{\rho\sigma}\,, \qquad (4.1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have defined $\mathcal{C}\equiv\mathcal{C}_{ABC}\rho^A\rho^B\rho^C$.

Weyl Multiplet	**Compensator(s)**	**Gauge Fixing**	**Supergravity Multiplet**
Standard Weyl Multiplet $(e_\mu{}^a, \psi^i_\mu, V^{ij}_\mu, b_\mu, D, T_{ab}, \chi^i)$	Linear Multiplet $(L^{ij}, \varphi^i, E_a, N)$ Vector Multiplet $(\rho, A_\mu, \lambda_i, Y_{ij})$	$b_\mu = 0$ $L_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta_{ij} L$ $L = 1$ $\varphi_i = 0$	$(e_\mu{}^a, V_\mu, V'^{ij}_\mu, D,$ $T_{ab}, \rho, A_\mu, Y_{ij}, E_a, N,$ $\psi^i_\mu, \lambda^i, \chi^i)$,
Standard Weyl Multiplet $(e_\mu{}^a, \psi^i_\mu, V^{ij}_\mu, b_\mu, D, T_{ab}, \chi^i)$	Linear Multiplet $(L^{ij}, \varphi^i, E_a, N)$ Vector Multiplet $(\rho, A_\mu, \lambda_i, Y_{ij})$	$b_\mu = 0$ $\rho = 1$ $\lambda_i = 0$	$(e_\mu{}^a, V_\mu{}^{ij}, D, T_{ab},$ $L_{ij}, A_\mu, Y_{ij}, E_a, N,$ $\psi^i_\mu, \varphi^i, \chi^i)$,
Dilaton Weyl Multiplet $(e_\mu{}^a, \psi^i_\mu, V^{ij}_\mu, b_\mu, \sigma, C_\mu, B_{\mu\nu}\psi^i)$	Linear Multiplet $(L^{ij}, \varphi^i, E_a, N)$	$b_\mu = 0$ $L_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta_{ij} L$ $L = 1$ $\varphi_i = 0$	$(e_\mu{}^a, V_\mu, V'^{ij}_\mu, \sigma,$ $B_{\mu\nu}, C_\mu, E_a, N,$ $\psi^i_\mu, \psi^i)$,
Dilaton Weyl Multiplet $(e_\mu{}^a, \psi^i_\mu, V^{ij}_\mu, b_\mu, \sigma, C_\mu, B_{\mu\nu}\psi^i)$	Linear Multiplet $(L^{ij}, \varphi^i, E_a, N)$	$b_\mu = 0$ $\sigma = 1$ $\psi_i = 0$	$(e_\mu{}^a, V_\mu{}^{ij}, B_{\mu\nu}, C_\mu,$ $L_{ij}, E_a, N,$ $\psi^i_\mu, \varphi^i)$,

Table 1: A list of possible constructions of off-shell supergravity models using standard/dilaton Weyl multiplet, a single vector multiplet and a single linear multiplet. Gauge fixing by use of multiple multiplets correspond to an appropriate combination of these gauge fixing choices. The gauge choice $L_{ij} = 1/\sqrt{2}\delta_{ij}L$ is not a must, and does not fix a conformal symmetry but breaks the R-symmetry group $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$ to $\mathrm{U}(1)_R$, which simplifies calculations tremendously. When the standard Weyl multiplet is used, both the linear and the vector multiplets must be utilized for the construction of a supergravity theory, and the difference between the off-shell supergravity multiplets lie in the different choices for the gauge fixing. When the dilaton Weyl multiplet is used, the linear multiplet itself is sufficient for the construction of an off-shell Poincaré supergravity.

The Lagrangian (4.1) is invariant under the full superconformal group, and the conformal symmetries must be fixed to have an off-shell Poincaré supergravity. The canonical Einstein-Hilbert term can be recovered by the gauge choice

$$\mathcal{C} + 3F_{AB}L^A_{ij}L^{ijB} \;\; = \;\; 4\,, \tag{4.2}$$

which would fix the dilatations. Consequently, the gauge choices

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}_{ABC}\rho^A\rho^B\lambda^C_i + 2F_{AB}L^A_{ij}\varphi^{jB} = 0\,, \qquad b_\mu = 0\,, \tag{4.3}$$

would fix $S-$supersymmetry and special conformal transformations respectively. The resulting model would then describe the off-shell supergravity coupled to vector and linear multiplets. For simplicity, let us focus on a single linear multiplet choice which would correspond to $\mathcal{F}_{11} = L^{-1}$.

Noticing that D equation of motion is given by

$$0 \;=\; \mathcal{C} - F_{AB} L^A_{ij} L^{ijB} \,, \tag{4.4}$$

it is sufficient to gauge fix using a single linear multiplet to obtain the canonical Einstein-Hilbert term in the on-shell theory. This can be done by the following gauge choice

$$L = 1 \,, \quad L_{ij} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{ij} \,, \quad \varphi_i = 0 \,, \quad b_\mu = 0 \,, \tag{4.5}$$

where the first choice would fix dilatations, the second choice would break the SU(2) R-symmetry group to U(1), the third choice would fix the S-supersymmetry transformations and the last choice would fix the special conformal transformations. Adapting the notation

$$\mathcal{C}_A = 3\, \mathcal{C}_{ABC} \rho^B \rho^C \,, \quad \mathcal{C}_{AB} = 6\, \mathcal{C}_{ABC} \rho^C \,, \tag{4.6}$$

the off-shell Poincaré theory is given by [12]

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-1}\mathcal{L} \;=\; & \tfrac{1}{8}(\mathcal{C}+3)R + \tfrac{1}{3}(104\mathcal{C}-8)T^2 + 4(\mathcal{C}-1)D - N^2 - P_\mu P^\mu + V^{'ij}_\mu V^{'\mu}_{ij} - \sqrt{2} V_\mu P^\mu \\ & + \tfrac{3}{4} \mathcal{C}_{ABC} \rho^A F^B_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu\, C} + \tfrac{3}{2} C_{ABC} \rho^A \partial_\mu \rho^B \partial^\mu \rho^C - 3 \mathcal{C}_{ABC} \rho^A Y^B_{ij} Y^{ij\, C} \\ & - 12 \mathcal{C}_{ABC} \rho^A \rho^B F^C_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu} + \tfrac{1}{8} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} \mathcal{C}_{ABC} A^A_\mu F^B_{\nu\rho} F^C_{\sigma\lambda} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where we have decomposed the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$ gauge field V^{ij}_μ into its trace and the traceless parts

$$V^{ij}_\mu = V^{'ij}_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} V_\mu \,, \quad V^{'ij}_\mu \delta_{ij} = 0 \,. \tag{4.8}$$

We can now eliminate the auxiliary fields $D, T_{ab}, P_\mu, V_\mu V^{'ij}_\mu, N$ and Y_{ij} by their field equations. As the field equation for V_μ implies $P_\mu = 0$, we notice that the auxiliary fields $P_\mu, V_\mu, V^{ij}_\mu, N$ and Y_{ij} are set to zero by their field equations. Then the D and T_{ab} equations give rise to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;&=\; \mathcal{C} - 1 \,, \\ 0 \;&=\; \tfrac{2}{3}(104\mathcal{C} - 8) T_{ab} - 4 \mathcal{C}_A F^A_{\mu\nu} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Consequently, the on-shell action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-1}\mathcal{L} \;=\; & \tfrac{1}{2} R + \tfrac{1}{8}(\mathcal{C}_{AB} - \mathcal{C}_A \mathcal{C}_B) F^A_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu B} + \tfrac{1}{4} \mathcal{C}_{AB} \partial_\mu \rho^A \, \partial^\mu \rho^B \\ & + \tfrac{1}{8} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} \mathcal{C}_{ABC} A^A_\mu F^B_{\nu\rho} F^C_{\sigma\lambda} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Here, the U(1) R-symmetry is ungauged, hence the maximally symmetric solution of the theory is given by Minkowski$_5$. The gauged model can be obtained by adding the density formulate (3.11) to the conformal supergravity model (4.1), gauge fixing according to (4.5), and using the field equations of the corresponding theory. This procedure is presented in detail in [12].

4.2 Off-Diagonal Invariants

When the linear and the vector multiplets are used as compensators along with the standard Weyl multiplet, the construction of the Weyl squared and the Ricci scalar squared invariants are discussed in [12, 16]. However, there can also be supersymmetric higher derivative models that consists both the curvature and the auxiliary scalar, i.e., RN, but not a pure curvature term. These are called the off-diagonal invariants. Such models already known to exist in lower dimensional supergravity theories, i.e. see [25, 26, 27] for the three dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2$ examples.

For the construction of higher derivative off-diagonal supergravity models, let's first review the composite formulae (3.14). For a single linear multiplet, which corresponds to $\mathcal{F}_{11} = L^{-1}$, and with the gauge fixing conditions (4.5), the bosonic part of the map between vector and linear multiplet is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\rho} &= 2N, \\
\underline{Y}^{ij} &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta^{ij}\Big(-\tfrac{3}{8}R - N^2 - P^2 + \tfrac{8}{3}T^2 + 4D - V_a^{\prime kl}V_{kl}^{\prime a}\Big) \\
&\quad +2P^a V_a^{\prime ij} - \sqrt{2}\nabla^a V_a^{\prime m(i}\delta^{j)}{}_m, \\
\underline{F}^{\mu\nu} &= 2\sqrt{2}\partial^{[\mu}\Big(V^{\nu]} + \sqrt{2}P^{\nu]}\Big)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

An important fact about the gauge fixed map (4.11) is that for a the single multiplet choice $\mathcal{F}_{11} = L^{-1}$, the composite expression for the $F_{\mu\nu}$ becomes (3.16)

$$F_{\mu\nu} = 4\partial_{[\mu}\Big(L^{-1}E_{\nu]} + L^{-1}V_{\nu]}^{ij}L_{ij}\Big) - 2L^{-3}L_k{}^l\partial_{[\mu}L^{kp}\partial_{\nu]}L_{lp}\,. \tag{4.12}$$

Imposing the gauge fixing conditions (4.5), this equation reduces to the composite expression in (4.11), thus, $F_{\mu\nu}$ becomes exact in the sense that it can be written as a curl of a quantity

$$A_\mu = \sqrt{2}(V_\mu + \sqrt{2}P_\mu)\,. \tag{4.13}$$

From the vector multiplet action (3.22), it is evident that the number of ρ's that multiply R determines the type of the off-diagonal invariant. Therefore, the first invariant we present here is the RN^3 invariant given by

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{RN^3} &= -\tfrac{1}{24}\underline{\rho}^3 R - \tfrac{1}{4}\underline{\rho}\underline{F}^{\mu\nu}\underline{F}_{\mu\nu} - \tfrac{1}{2}\underline{\rho}\partial_\mu\underline{\rho}\partial^\mu\underline{\rho} + \underline{\rho}\underline{Y}^{ij}\underline{Y}_{ij} \\
&\quad -\tfrac{4}{3}\underline{\rho}^3(D + \tfrac{26}{3}T^2) + 4\underline{\rho}^2\underline{F}^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} - \tfrac{1}{24}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}\underline{A}^\mu\underline{F}^{\nu\rho}\underline{F}^{\sigma\lambda}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

This action can simply be obtained by choosing a single vector multiplet via $C_{111} = 1$ and using the gauge-fixed composite formulae (4.11). When the composite expressions are expanded, the supersymmetric completion of the RN^3 action is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{RN^3} &= \tfrac{1}{3}RN^3 + NG_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu} + \tfrac{32}{2}N^3\Big(D + \tfrac{26}{3}T^2\Big) - 16\sqrt{2}N^2G_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad +4N\partial_\mu N\partial^\mu N - 2N\Big(\tfrac{3}{8}R + N^2 + P^2 + \tfrac{8}{3}T^2 + 4D - 2Z^\mu\bar{Z}_\mu\Big)^2 \\
&\quad +8N|\nabla^\mu Z_\mu + \mathrm{i}\sqrt{2}P^\mu Z_\mu|^2 + \tfrac{1}{6\sqrt{2}}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda}C_\mu G_{\nu\rho}G_{\sigma\lambda}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

up to an overall minus sign. Here, we have defined

$$Z_\mu = V_\mu^{\prime 12} + \mathrm{i}V_\mu^{\prime 11}\,, \qquad C_\mu = V_\mu + \sqrt{2}P_\mu\,, \qquad G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu C_\nu - \partial_\nu C_\mu\,. \tag{4.16}$$

Another off-diagonal supersymmetric invariant, the supersymmetric completion of the RN invariant can be constructed by setting $C_{1AB} = a_{AB}$ and all other possibilities to zero. In this case, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{RN} &= a_{AB}\Big(\underline{\rho}Y^A_{ij}Y^{ijB} + 2\rho^A Y^B_{ij}\underline{Y}^{ij} - \tfrac{1}{8}\rho^A\rho^B\underline{\rho}R - \tfrac{1}{4}\underline{\rho}F^A_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu B} - \tfrac{1}{2}\rho^A F^B_{\mu\nu}\underline{F}^{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}\underline{\rho}\partial_\mu\rho^A\partial^\mu\rho^B + \underline{\rho}\rho^A\Box\rho^B - 4\rho^A\rho^B\underline{\rho}\Big(D + \tfrac{26}{3}T^2\Big) + 4\rho^A\rho^B\underline{F}^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad + 8\underline{\rho}\rho^A F^B_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} - \tfrac{1}{8}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\underline{A}^\mu F^{\nu\rho A}F^{\sigma\lambda B}\Big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Finally, when the composite expressions (4.11) are used, the supersymmetric completion of the RN invariant is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{RN} &= a_{AB}\Big[\tfrac{1}{4}\rho^A\rho^B NR + \tfrac{1}{2}NF^A_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu B} + \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\rho^A F^B_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu} - N\partial_\mu\rho^A\partial^\mu\rho^B - 2N\rho^A\Box\rho^B \\ &\quad - 2NY^A_{ij}Y^{ijB} + \sqrt{2}\rho^A Y^B_{ij}\delta^{ij}\Big(\tfrac{3}{8}R + N^2 + P^2 - \tfrac{8}{3}T^2 - 4D + V^{\prime kl}_\mu V^{\prime\mu}_{kl}\Big) \\ &\quad - 2\rho^A Y^B_{ij}(2P^\mu V^{\prime ij}_\mu - \sqrt{2}\nabla^\mu V^{\prime mi}_\mu\delta^j{}_m) + 8N\rho^A\rho^B(D + \tfrac{26}{3}T^2) \\ &\quad - 4\sqrt{2}\rho^A\rho^B G_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} - 16N\rho^A F^B_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} + \tfrac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^\mu F^{\nu\rho A}F^{\sigma\lambda B}\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

up to an overall minus signature. Note that one can choose $\alpha_{IJ} = \alpha_{11} = 1$ to truncate the model to a single vector multiplet.

In principle, one expects to have an RN^2 action along with the RN and RN^3 invariants. Unfortunately, this is not the case since the supersymmetric completion of the RN^2 also includes the R^2 itself, hence not giving rise to an off-diagonal supersymmetric action. This situation is very similar to the three dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case where one has RD and RD^3 invariants, but not RD^2 [27, 28], where D is the auxiliary component of a three dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet. Finally, we note that using the composite expression for the vector multiplet (4.11), it is possible to construct other off-diagonal invariants such as $NC_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ invariant where $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the Weyl tensor. Such an invariant can be easily produced using the Weyl tensor squared invariant [16] and assuming that the vector multiplet is a composite multiplet defined by the expressions (4.11).

4.3 Comments on the Higher Derivative Yang-Mills Action

In the previous section, we discuss the construction of higher derivative linear multiplet actions, which led us to higher derivative supergravity invariants. We can use the same mechanism to produce higher order supersymmetric invariants for vector multiplets. As the first step, the bosonic part of the composite formulae (3.20) for a single vector multiplet is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{L}^{ij} &= 2\rho Y^{ij}\,, \\ \underline{N} &= -\tfrac{1}{16}\rho^2 R + \rho\Box\rho + \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\rho\partial^\mu\rho - \tfrac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + Y^{ij}Y_{ij} + 8F_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} - 4\rho^2\Big(D + \tfrac{26}{3}T^2\Big)\,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{P}^a \;=\; \partial_b\Big(-\rho F^{ab}+8\rho^2 T^{ab}\Big)-\tfrac{1}{8}\epsilon^{abcde}F_{bc}F_{de}\,, \tag{4.19}$$

which can be obtained by setting $\mathcal{C}_{111}=1$. With these composite expressions, it is obvious that a conformal linear multiplet action with an N^2 term would produce a higher order supersymmetric invariant when N is treated as a composite field given by (4.19). It is reasonable to expect that this can be done by a single linear multiplet action, which can be obtained by setting $\mathcal{F}_{11}=L^{-1}$ in the action (3.17). There is, however, a problem with this choice; the action does not include a pure N^2 term, but includes $L^{-1}N^2$, thus not producing a desired kind of action, i.e. the supersymmetric completion of an F^4 term. One can evade this problem by considering two linear multiplets, one of which will be used to gauge fix the conformal symmetries and the other as the composite multiplet (4.19). We let two multiplets be

$$(\underline{L}_{ij},\underline{\varphi}_i,\underline{E}^a,\underline{N}) \quad\text{and}\quad (L_{ij},\varphi_i,E^a,N)\,, \tag{4.20}$$

where the first multiplet is the composite multiplet with the composite expressions being (4.19), and the second one is the compensator. We, then, make our choice for $\mathcal{F}_{AB}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{F}_{11}=L^{-1}\,,\qquad \mathcal{F}_{12}=-L^{-3}L_{ij}\underline{L}^{ij}\,,\qquad \mathcal{F}_{21}=\mathcal{F}_{22}=0\,,\\ &\mathcal{F}_{121ij}=\mathcal{F}_{112ij}=-L^{-3}L_{ij}\,,\qquad L_{122ij}=-L^{-3}\underline{L}_{ij}+3L^{-5}L_{ij}L_{kl}\underline{L}^{kl}\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

where "1" is used for the underlined composite linear multiplet and "2" representing the compensating multiplet. With these choices, the bosonic part of the lowest component of the vector multiplet (3.14) is given by

$$\rho \;=\; L^{-1}\underline{N}-L^{-3}L_{ij}\underline{L}^{ij}N\,. \tag{4.22}$$

Consequently, the density formulae

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-1}\mathcal{L} \;=\;& \rho\underline{N}+Y_{ij}\underline{L}^{ij}+\mathrm{i}\bar{\lambda}\underline{\varphi}+A_a\underline{E}^a\\ &-\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}^i_\mu\gamma^\mu\lambda^i\underline{L}_{ij}+\tfrac{1}{2}\rho\psi_\mu\gamma^\mu\underline{\varphi}+\tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{i}\rho\bar{\psi}^i_\mu\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi^j_\nu\underline{L}_{ij}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

would produce a linear multiplet action such that it would give rise to the supersymmetric completion of the F^4 term upon using the composite formulae (4.19). In order to produce the non-Abelian extension of the off-shell higher derivative vector multiplet action, one can follow the two derivative example [24], which is based on a Noether procedure. Upon non-Abelian extension, the only field that picks up a $g-$dependent term in its supersymmetry transformation rule is Y_{ij} [9]

$$Y^I_{ij}|_g \;=\; -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}g\bar{\epsilon}^{(i}f_{JK}{}^I\rho^J\lambda^{j)K}\,, \tag{4.24}$$

where $I=1,\ldots,n$ is the Yang-Mills index. The $g-$dependent terms from the variation of Y^I_{ij} must be annihilated by the gauge covariantization of the superconformal derivatives $\widehat{F}_{\mu\nu},\mathcal{D}_\mu\rho,\mathcal{D}_\mu\lambda^i$ and $\mathcal{D}_\mu Y_{ij}$, and possible additional explicit $g-$dependent terms. Thus, keeping only the track of the $g-$terms, it is straightforward to find the required modification to the vector multiplet action. We will address this question, as well as the relation between the five dimensional and six dimensional higher derivative Yang-Mills multiplet invariants [29] in a forthcoming paper.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we present a detailed study on the linear multiplets of the five dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry. To construct the linear multiplet actions for $N-$number of interacting linear multiplets, we made extensive use of the superconformal tensor calulus. Our main result for the rigid case is presented in equation (2.16), and for the conformal supergravity coupling, the main result is presented in the equation (3.17). As a byproduct of our formulation, we also describe the construction of off-diagonal supergravity invariants, and presented two particular examples: the RN and the RN^3 invariants.

There are number of directions to pursue following our work. First of all, the formulation we present here can be directly generalized to six dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(1,0)$ conformal supergravity. As the vector multiplet of the six dimensional supergravity does not include a scalar field as we have in here, we expect that the function $\mathcal{F}_{AB}$ that determines the interaction of the linear multiplet is less constrained. Furthermore, the construction procedure of higher derivative vector multiplet action that we described here can be generalized to six dimensions, however this time it generates the supersymmetric completion of the $F\Box F$ invariant, which, upon non-Abelian generalization, would produce the conformal Yang-Mills supersymmetry in six dimensions. The vacuum solutions and the spectrum corresponding to the off-diagonal extended (higher derivative) supergravity also remains to be investigated. For the construction of five dimensional ghost-free higher derivative gauged supergravity model, the off-diagonal invariants given in this paper are expected to play an important role in eliminating the ghost degrees of freedom. Finally, it is reasonable to expect that the off-diagonal invariants constructed in this paper can play a role in higher order corrections to black hole entropy or higher order effects in AdS/CFT, see i.e. [30, 31]. On the other hand, one can also argue that as the auxiliary fields can be eliminated perturbatively even when they acquire kinetric terms via higher derivative invariants, the off-diagonal invariants may completely be absorbed into the on-shell two derivative theory by means of a perturbative elimination [32] and field redefinitions [33]. Therefore, it would be interesting to see explicitly whether off-diagonal invariants can survive such an elimination procedure and lead to non-trivial higher order effects in AdS/CFT.

Acknowledgement

It is a pleasure to thank Eric Bergshoeff, Yi Pang and Ergin Sezgin for useful discussions. The work of MO is supported in part by Marie Curie Cofund (No.116C028).

A Weyl Multiplets of Five Dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ Supergravity

The five dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ conformal tensor calculus is based on the exceptional superalgebra $F^2(4)$ with the generators

$$P_a\,,\quad M_{ab}\,,\quad D\,,\quad K_a\,,\quad U_{ij}\,,\quad Q_{\alpha i}\,,\quad S_{\alpha i}\,, \tag{A.1}$$

with the corresponding gauge fields

$$e_\mu{}^a\,,\quad \omega_\mu{}^{ab}\,,\quad b_\mu\,,\quad f_\mu{}^a\,,\quad V_\mu^{ij}\,,\quad \psi_\mu^i\,,\quad \phi_\mu^i\,, \tag{A.2}$$

where $a, b, \ldots$ are the Lorentz indices $\mu, \nu, \ldots$ are the world vector indices, α is a spinor index and $i = 1, 2$ is an SU(2) index. Here, $\{P_a, M_{ab}, D, K_a\}$ are the generators of the usual conformal algebra, whereas U_{ij} is the $SU(2)$ generator and $Q_{\alpha i}$ and $S_{\alpha i}$ are the generators of the $Q-$SUSY and the $S-$SUSY respectively. In order to relate the P_a and M_{ab} to the diffeomorphisms of spacetime, one can impose the so-called conventional curvature constraints [7], in which case the the fields $\omega_\mu{}^{ab}, f_\mu^a$ and ϕ_μ^i becomes dependant fields, and by a simple degree of freedom counting shows that there are 21 bosonic and 24 fermionic degrees of freedom. In order to match the bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom, and to have an off-shell closed superconformal multiplet, one can add two set of distinct matter fields. One of the choices, a real scalar D, an anti-symmetric tensor T_{ab} and a symplectic Marojana spinor χ^i would lead to the standard Weyl multiplet. The Q-, S- and K- transformations of these fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta e_\mu{}^a &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\epsilon\gamma^a\psi_\mu\,,\\
\delta\psi_\mu^i &= (\partial_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}b_\mu + \tfrac{1}{4}\omega_\mu{}^{ab}\gamma_{ab})\epsilon^i - V_\mu^{ij}\epsilon_j + \mathrm{i}\gamma\cdot T\gamma_\mu\epsilon^i - \mathrm{i}\gamma_\mu\eta^i\,,\\
\delta V_\mu{}^{ij} &= -\tfrac{3}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\epsilon^{(i}\phi_\mu^{j)} + 4\bar\epsilon^{(i}\gamma_\mu\chi^{j)} + \mathrm{i}\bar\epsilon^{(i}\gamma\cdot T\psi_\mu^{j)} + \tfrac{3}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\eta^{(i}\psi_\mu^{j)}\,,\\
\delta T_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\epsilon\gamma_{ab}\chi - \tfrac{3}{32}\mathrm{i}\bar\epsilon\widehat{R}_{ab}(Q)\,,\\
\delta\chi^i &= \tfrac{1}{4}\epsilon^i D - \tfrac{1}{64}\gamma\cdot\widehat{R}^{ij}(V)\epsilon_j + \tfrac{1}{8}\mathrm{i}\gamma^{ab}\not{\mathcal{D}}T_{ab}\epsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{8}\mathrm{i}\gamma^a\mathcal{D}^bT_{ab}\epsilon^i\\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{4}\gamma^{abcd}T_{ab}T_{cd}\epsilon^i + \tfrac{1}{6}T^2\epsilon^i + \tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot T\eta^i\,,\\
\delta D &= \bar\epsilon\not{\mathcal{D}}\chi - \tfrac{5}{3}\mathrm{i}\bar\epsilon\gamma\cdot T\chi - \mathrm{i}\bar\eta\chi\,,\\
\delta b_\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\epsilon\phi_\mu - 2\bar\epsilon\gamma_\mu\chi + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\eta\psi_\mu + 2\Lambda_{K\mu}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_\mu\chi^i &= (\partial_\mu - \tfrac{3}{2}b_\mu + \tfrac{1}{4}\omega_\mu{}^{ab}\gamma_{ab})\chi^i - V_\mu^{ij}\chi_j - \tfrac{1}{4}\psi_\mu^i D + \tfrac{1}{64}\gamma\cdot\widehat{R}^{ij}(V)\psi_{\mu j}\\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{8}\mathrm{i}\gamma^{ab}\not{\mathcal{D}}T_{ab}\psi_\mu^i + \tfrac{1}{8}\mathrm{i}\gamma^a\mathcal{D}^bT_{ab}\psi_\mu^i + \tfrac{1}{4}\gamma^{abcd}T_{ab}T_{cd}\psi_\mu^i - \tfrac{1}{6}T^2\psi_\mu^i - \tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot T\phi_\mu^i\,,\\
\mathcal{D}_\mu T_{ab} &= \partial_\mu T_{ab} - b_\mu T_{ab} - 2\omega_\mu{}^c{}_{[a}T_{b]c} - \tfrac{1}{2}i\bar\psi_\mu\gamma_{ab}\chi + \tfrac{3}{32}i\bar\psi_\mu\widehat{R}_{ab}(Q)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

The supercovariant curvatures that are relevant to our discussion here are given by [7]

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(P) &= 2\partial_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^a + 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^{ab}e_{\nu]b} + 2b_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^a - \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\psi_{[\mu}\gamma^a\psi_{\nu]}\,,\\
R_{\mu\nu}(D) &= 2\partial_{[\mu}b_{\nu]} - 4f^a_{[\mu}e_{\nu]a} - \mathrm{i}\bar\phi_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]}\,,\\
\widehat{R}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab}(M) &= 2\partial_{[\mu}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{ab} + 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^{ac}\omega_{\nu]c}{}^b + 8f_{[\mu}{}^{[a}e_{\nu]}{}^{b]} + \mathrm{i}\bar\psi_{[\mu}\gamma^{ab}\psi_{\nu]} + \mathrm{i}\bar\psi_{[\mu}\gamma^{[a}\gamma\cdot T\gamma^{b]}\psi_{\nu]}\\
&\quad +\bar\psi_{[\mu}\gamma^{[a}\widehat{R}_{\nu]}{}^{b]}(Q) + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\psi_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]}\widehat{R}^{ab}(Q) - 8\bar\psi_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^{[a}\gamma^{b]}\chi + i\bar\phi_{[\mu}\gamma^{ab}\psi_{\nu]}\,,\\
\widehat{R}_{\mu\nu}{}^{ij}(V) &= 2\partial_{[\mu}V_{\nu]}{}^{ij} - 2V_{[\mu}{}^{k(i}V_{\nu]}{}_k{}^{j)} - 3\mathrm{i}\bar\phi^{(i}_{[\mu}\psi^{j)}_{\nu]} - 8\bar\psi^{(i}_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]}\chi^{j)} - \mathrm{i}\bar\psi^{(i}_{[\mu}\gamma\cdot T\psi^{j)}_{\nu]}\,,\\
\widehat{R}^i_{\mu\nu}(Q) &= 2\partial_{[\mu}\psi^i_{\nu]} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{[\mu}{}^{ab}\gamma_{ab}\psi^i_{\nu]} + b_{[\mu}\psi^i_{\nu]} - 2V^{ij}_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]j} - 2i\gamma_{[\mu}\phi^i_{\nu]} + 2i\gamma\cdot T\gamma_{[\mu}\psi^i_{\nu]}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

where the spin connection $\omega_\mu{}^{ab}$, the S-supersymmetry gauge field ϕ_μ^i and the special conformal symmetry gauge field $f_\mu{}^a$ are composite fields. Their explicit expressions are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_\mu{}^{ab} &= 2e^{\nu[a}\partial_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}^{\ b]} - e^{\nu[a}e^{b]\sigma}e_{\mu c}\partial_\nu e_\sigma^{\ c} + 2e_\mu{}^{[a}b^{b]} - \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\psi^{[b}\gamma^{a]}\psi_\mu - \tfrac{1}{4}\bar\psi^b\gamma_\mu\psi^a\,, \\
\phi_\mu^i &= \tfrac{1}{3}\mathrm{i}\gamma^a\widehat R'_{\mu a}{}^i(Q) - \tfrac{1}{24}\mathrm{i}\gamma_\mu\gamma^{ab}\widehat R'_{ab}{}^i(Q)\,, \\
f_\mu^a &= -\tfrac{1}{6}\mathcal{R}_\mu{}^a + \tfrac{1}{48}e_\mu{}^a\mathcal{R}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \widehat R'_{\mu\rho}{}^{ab}(M)e_b{}^\rho e_{\nu a}, \quad \mathcal{R}\equiv\mathcal{R}_\mu{}^\mu\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

where the notation $\widehat R'_{ab}(Q)$ and $\widehat R'_{\mu\rho}{}^{ab}(M)$ implies that these expressions are obtained from $\widehat R_{ab}(Q)$ and $\widehat R_{\mu\rho}{}^{ab}(M)$ by omitting the ϕ_μ^i and f_μ^a terms respectively. These composite expressions can be obtained by the following conventional constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(P) &= 0\,, \\
e^\mu{}_a\widehat R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab}(M) &= 0 \\
\gamma^\mu\widehat R^i_{\mu\nu}(Q) &= 0\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

Note that these constraints imply relations between different curvatures by Bianchi identities, i.e.

$$e_{[\mu}{}^a R_{\nu\rho]}(D) = \widehat R_{[\mu\nu\rho]}{}^a(M)\,, \qquad R_{\mu\nu}(D)=0\,. \tag{A.8}$$

Instead of working with the matter sector of the standard Weyl multiplet, one can add the gauge sector of the Weyl multiplet a vector field C_μ, a two-form gauge field $B_{\mu\nu}$, a dilaton field σ and a dilatino ψ^i. This would form the dilaton Weyl multiplet. The Q-, S- and K- transformation rules of the fields in the dilaton Weyl multiplet are given by [7]

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta e_\mu{}^a &= \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\epsilon\gamma^a\psi_\mu\,, \\
\delta\psi_\mu^i &= (\partial_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}b_\mu + \tfrac{1}{4}\omega_\mu{}^{ab}\gamma_{ab})\epsilon^i - V_\mu^{ij}\epsilon_j + \mathrm{i}\gamma\cdot\underline{T}\gamma_\mu\epsilon^i - \mathrm{i}\gamma_\mu\eta^i\,, \\
\delta V_\mu{}^{ij} &= -\tfrac{3}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\epsilon^{(i}\phi_\mu^{j)} + 4\bar\epsilon^{(i}\gamma_\mu\underline{\chi}^{j)} + \mathrm{i}\bar\epsilon^{(i}\gamma\cdot\underline{T}\psi_\mu^{j)} + \tfrac{3}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\eta^{(i}\psi_\mu^{j)}\,, \\
\delta C_\mu &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sigma\bar\epsilon\psi_\mu + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar\epsilon\gamma_\mu\psi, \\
\delta B_{\mu\nu} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\sigma^2\bar\epsilon\gamma_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sigma\bar\epsilon\gamma_{\mu\nu}\psi + C_{[\mu}\delta(\epsilon)C_{\nu]}, \\
\delta\psi^i &= -\tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot\widehat G\epsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\not{D}\sigma\epsilon^i + \sigma\gamma\cdot\underline{T}\epsilon^i - \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{i}\sigma^{-1}\epsilon_j\bar\psi^i\psi^j + \sigma\eta^i\,, \\
\delta\sigma &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\epsilon\psi\,, \\
\delta b_\mu &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\epsilon\phi_\mu - 2\bar\epsilon\gamma_\mu\underline{\chi} + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar\eta\psi_\mu + 2\Lambda_{K\mu}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_\mu\sigma &= (\partial_\mu - b_\mu)\sigma - \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\bar\psi_\mu\psi\,, \\
\mathcal{D}_\mu\psi^i &= (\partial_\mu - \tfrac{3}{2}b_\mu + \tfrac{1}{4}\,\omega_\mu{}^{ab}\gamma_{ab})\psi^i - V_\mu^{ij}\psi_j + \tfrac{1}{4}\gamma\cdot\widehat G\psi_\mu^i \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\not{D}\sigma\psi_\mu^i + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{i}\sigma^{-1}\psi_{\mu j}\bar\psi^i\psi^j - \sigma\gamma\cdot\underline{T}\psi_\mu^i - \sigma\phi_\mu^i\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

and the supercovariant curvatures are defined according to

$$\widehat G_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}C_{\nu]} - \bar\psi_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu]}\psi + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\sigma\bar\psi_{[\mu}\psi_{\nu]},$$

$$\widehat{H}_{\mu\nu\rho} \;=\; 3\partial_{[\mu}B_{\nu\rho]} + \tfrac{3}{2}C_{[\mu}G_{\nu\rho]} - \tfrac{3}{4}\sigma^2\bar{\psi}_{[\mu}\gamma_\nu\psi_{\rho]} - \tfrac{3}{2}\mathrm{i}\sigma\bar{\psi}_{[\mu}\gamma_{\nu\rho]}\psi. \tag{A.11}$$

The underlined expressions $\underline{T}^{ab}, \underline{\chi}^i$ and $\underline{D}$, are the matter fields of the standard Weyl multiplet. However, in the transformation rules above, they are expressed in terms of the fields of the dilaton Weyl multiplet as [7]

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{T}^{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{8}\sigma^{-2}\Big(\sigma\widehat{G}^{ab} + \tfrac{1}{6}\epsilon^{abcde}\widehat{H}_{cde} + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{i}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{ab}\psi\Big)\,,\\
\underline{\chi}^i &= \tfrac{1}{8}\mathrm{i}\sigma^{-1}\not{D}\psi^i + \tfrac{1}{16}\mathrm{i}\sigma^{-2}\not{D}\sigma\psi^i - \tfrac{1}{32}\sigma^{-2}\gamma\cdot\widehat{G}\psi^i + \tfrac{1}{4}\sigma^{-1}\gamma\cdot\underline{T}\psi^i\\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{32}\mathrm{i}\sigma^{-3}\psi_j\bar{\psi}^i\psi^j,\\
\underline{D} &= \tfrac{1}{4}\sigma^{-1}\Box^c\sigma + \tfrac{1}{8}\sigma^{-2}(\mathcal{D}_a\sigma)(\mathcal{D}^a\sigma) - \tfrac{1}{16}\sigma^{-2}\widehat{G}_{\mu\nu}\widehat{G}^{\mu\nu}\\
&\quad - \tfrac{1}{8}\sigma^{-2}\bar{\psi}\not{D}\psi - \tfrac{1}{64}\sigma^{-4}\bar{\psi}^i\psi^j\bar{\psi}_i\psi_j - 4\mathrm{i}\sigma^{-1}\psi\underline{\chi}\\
&\quad + \Big(-\tfrac{26}{3}\underline{T}_{ab} + 2\sigma^{-1}\widehat{G}_{ab} + \tfrac{1}{4}\mathrm{i}\sigma^{-2}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{ab}\psi\Big)\underline{T}^{ab}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

where the superconformal d'Alambertian for σ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box^c\sigma = (\partial^a - 2b^a + \omega_b{}^{ba})\mathcal{D}_a\sigma - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\bar{\psi}_a\mathcal{D}^a\psi - 2\sigma\bar{\psi}_a\gamma^a\chi\\
+\tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_a\gamma^a\gamma\cdot\underline{T}\psi + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\phi}_a\gamma^a\psi + 2f_a{}^a\sigma\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

References

[1] M. Kaku, P. K. Townsend, and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, "Properties of Conformal Supergravity," *Phys. Rev.* **D17** (1978) 3179.

[2] M. Kaku and P. K. Townsend, "Poincaré Supregarvity as Broken Superconformal Gravity," *Phys. Lett.* **B76** (1978) 54.

[3] S. Ferrara, M. Kaku, P. K. Townsend, and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, "Gauging the Graded Conformal Group with Unitary Internal Symmetries," *Nucl. Phys.* **B129** (1977) 125.

[4] M. Kaku, P. K. Townsend, and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, "Gauge Theory of the Conformal and Superconformal Group," *Phys. Lett.* **B69** (1977) 304–308.

[5] W. Nahm, "Supersymmetries and their Representations," Nucl. Phys. B **135**, 149 (1978).

[6] T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, "Supergravity tensor calculus in 5-D from 6-D," Prog. Theor. Phys. **104**, 835 (2000), 0006231.

[7] E. Bergshoeff, S. Cucu, M. Derix, T. de Wit, R. Halbersma and A. Van Proeyen, "Weyl multiplets of N=2 conformal supergravity in five-dimensions," JHEP **0106**, 051 (2001), 0104113.

[8] T. Fujita and K. Ohashi, "Superconformal tensor calculus in five-dimensions," Prog. Theor. Phys. **106**, 221 (2001), 0104130.

[9] E. Bergshoeff, S. Cucu, T. De Wit, J. Gheerardyn, R. Halbersma, S. Vandoren and A. Van Proeyen, "Superconformal N=2, D = 5 matter with and without actions," JHEP **0210**, 045 (2002), 0205230.

[10] E. Bergshoeff, S. Cucu, T. de Wit, J. Gheerardyn, S. Vandoren and A. Van Proeyen, "N = 2 supergravity in five-dimensions revisited," Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 3015 (2004) [Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 7149 (2006)], 0403045.

[11] T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, "Off-shell D = 5 supergravity coupled to matter Yang-Mills system," Prog. Theor. Phys. **105**, 323 (2001), 0010288.

[12] M. Ozkan and Y. Pang, "All off-shell R^2 invariants in five dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity," JHEP **1308**, 042 (2013), 1306.1540.

[13] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and A. Van Proeyen, "Superconformal Tensor Calculus and Matter Couplings in Six-dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B **264**, 653 (1986) Erratum: [Nucl. Phys. B **598**, 667 (2001)].

[14] F. Coomans and A. Van Proeyen, "Off-shell N=(1,0), D=6 supergravity from superconformal methods," JHEP **1102**, 049 (2011) Erratum: [JHEP **1201**, 119 (2012)], 1101.2403.

[15] F. Coomans and M. Ozkan, "An off-shell formulation for internally gauged D=5, N=2 supergravity from superconformal methods," JHEP **1301**, 099 (2013), 1210.4704.

[16] K. Hanaki, K. Ohashi and Y. Tachikawa, "Supersymmetric Completion of an R^2 term in Five-dimensional Supergravity," Prog. Theor. Phys. **117**, 533 (2007), 0611329.

[17] M. Ozkan and Y. Pang, "Supersymmetric Completion of Gauss-Bonnet Combination in Five Dimensions," JHEP **1303**, 158 (2013) Erratum: [JHEP **1307**, 152 (2013)], 1301.6622.

[18] E. A. Bergshoeff, J. Rosseel and E. Sezgin, "Off-shell D=5, N=2 Riemann Squared Supergravity," Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 225016 (2011), 1107.2825.

[19] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, "Conformal supergravity in five dimensions: New approach and applications," JHEP **1502**, 111 (2015), 1410.8682.

[20] B. de Wit and F. Saueressig, "Off-shell N=2 tensor supermultiplets," JHEP **0609**, 062 (2006), 0606148.

[21] D. Z. Freedman and A. Van Proeyen, "Supergravity."

[22] W. Siegel, "Chiral Actions for $N = 2$ Supersymmetric Tensor Multiplets," Phys. Lett. B **153**, 51 (1985). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(85)91440-6

[23] D. Butter and S. M. Kuzenko, "New higher-derivative couplings in 4D N = 2 supergravity," JHEP **1103**, 047 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2011)047 1012.5153.

[24] T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, "Off-shell D = 5 supergravity coupled to matter Yang-Mills system," Prog. Theor. Phys. **105**, 323 (2001), 0010288.

[25] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm, J. Rosseel, E. Sezgin and P. K. Townsend, "More on Massive 3D Supergravity," Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 015002 (2011), 1005.3952.

[26] E. Bergshoeff and M. Ozkan, "3D Born-Infeld Gravity and Supersymmetry," JHEP **1408**, 149 (2014), 1405.6212.

[27] G. Alkac, L. Basanisi, E. A. Bergshoeff, M. Ozkan and E. Sezgin, "Massive $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity in three dimensions," JHEP **1502**, 125 (2015), 1412.3118.

[28] S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, "Higher derivative couplings and massive supergravity in three dimensions," JHEP **1509**, 081 (2015), 1506.09063.

[29] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and S. Theisen, "Invariants for minimal conformal supergravity in six dimensions," 1606.02921.

[30] B. de Wit and S. Katmadas, "Near-Horizon Analysis of D=5 BPS Black Holes and Rings," JHEP **1002**, 056 (2010), 0910.4907.

[31] S. Cremonini, K. Hanaki, J. T. Liu and P. Szepietowski, "Higher derivative effects on eta/s at finite chemical potential," Phys. Rev. D **80**, 025002 (2009), 0903.3244.

[32] P. C. Argyres, A. M. Awad, G. A. Braun and F. P. Esposito, "Higher derivative terms in N=2 supersymmetric effective actions," JHEP **0307**, 060 (2003), 0306118.

[33] R. C. Myers, M. F. Paulos and A. Sinha, "Holographic Hydrodynamics with a Chemical Potential," JHEP **0906**, 006 (2009), 0903.2834.